# Simulations for the campaign of AGATA at GSI (brief summary on geometry aspects)

## César Domingo Pardo

GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung

**Mechanics Working Group** 

27.10.2009

# Outline

- Main constraints
- Possible geometries
- Optimal geometry

## Particular constraints for the setup at GSI



• two main constraints:

- 1. 15 cluster detectors will not be available yet in 2011/2012 (10-12 instead)
- 2. The beam hole (pentagon) is too small for the GSI beam size

• General geometry constraint: triple clusters (not single)

## **Performance comparison: general aspects**

- Systematic study of efficiency and resolution vs. distance for all geometries
- "Reference physics case": (GEANT4 AGATA code from E.Farnea et al.)
  - $\Rightarrow$  E<sub> $\gamma,o$ </sub> = 1 MeV, recoil nucleus at  $\beta$  = 0.43 (E = 100 MeV/u), M $\gamma$  = 1
  - ⇒ Systematic study several distances sec. target detector



# First approach in geometry optimisation: S and C geometries









# New approach considering AGATA double cluster detectors

#### **Geometry cases**

• Task 1: S2 + 5 Double Cluster detectors closing part of the central hole (15-16cm?). Remains shell with 5 crystals hole + pentagon hole

#### AGATA S2 Geometry



#### **10** triple Cluster + **5** double Cluster

# New approach considering AGATA double cluster detectors

#### **Geometry cases**

• Task 1: S2 + 5 Double Cluster detectors closing part of the central hole (15-16cm?). Remains shell with 5 crystals hole + pentagon hole



Beam pipe diameter = 13 cm

# New approach considering AGATA double cluster detectors

#### **Geometry cases**

- Task 1: S2 + 5 Double Cluster detectors closing part of the central hole (15-16cm?). Remains shell with 5 crystals hole + pentagon hole
- Task 2: S3 + 1 Double Cluster detector closing part of the central hole (10-11 cm?). Remains shell with 4 crystals hole + pentagon hole.



10 triple Cluster (Asym)

1 double Cluster

Beam pipe diameter = 11 cm

## **S-Geometries Performance comparison: Efficiency**



## **S-Geometries Performance comparison: Efficiency**



## **S-Geometries Performance comparison: Resolution**



## **S-Geometries Performance comparison: Resolution**



## Shell Geometries performance comparison: Summary











# S- and C-Geometry Performance, Quantitative Comparison





# List of Tasks for the Working Group (17.07.2009)

#### **Geometry cases**

- Task 1: S2 + 5 Double Cluster detectors closing part of the central hole (15-16cm?). Remains shell with 5 crystals hole + pentagon hole
- Task 2: S3 + 1 Double Cluster detector closing part of the central hole (10-11 cm?). Remains shell with 4 crystals hole + pentagon hole.
- Task 3: previous + 4 Triple Clusters enlarging shell (for case one has 15 Clusters available).
- Task 4: C2 geometry, with clusters in 2<sup>nd</sup> ring pointing to target, and 3<sup>rd</sup> ring (15 Clusters total)

### Conclusion:

- Provided that 10 ATC detectors and 1 "ADC" detector (or more) are available, then a shell geometry (S3' or S2') shows a superior performance than any other possible cylindrical geometry (e.g. C2).
- Typical  $\gamma$ -ray efficiencies between 14% and 17% can be achieved, which in combination with resolutions (FWHM) of 8-9 keV will provide a  $\gamma$ -ray sensitivity of more than 10 times the RISING sensitivity.

# S2': Best Geometry for experiments at GSI





# Beam profile at AGATA position... better 120 mm option

Cross Section View from the TOP

